MOISTURE-DENSITY RELATIONS OF SOILS:
USING A 2.5 kg (5.5 lb) RAMMER AND A 305 mm (12 in.) DROP FOP FOR AASHTO T 99
USING A 4.54 kg (10 lb) RAMMER AND A 457 mm (18 in.) DROP FOP FOR AASHTO T 180

Scope

This procedure covers the determination of the moisture-density relations of soils and soil-aggregate mixtures in accordance with two similar test methods:

- AASHTO T 99-19: Methods A, B, C, and D
- AASHTO T 180-19: Methods A, B, C, and D

This test method applies to soil mixtures having 40 percent or less retained on the 4.75 mm (No. 4) sieve for methods A or B, or, 30 percent or less retained on the 19 mm (¾ in.) with methods C or D. The retained material is defined as oversize (coarse) material. If no minimum percentage is specified, 5 percent will be used. Samples that contain oversize (coarse) material that meet percent retained criteria should be corrected by using Annex A, Correction of Maximum Dry Density and Optimum Moisture for Oversized Particles.

Samples of soil or soil-aggregate mixture are prepared at several moisture contents and compacted into molds of specified size, using manual or mechanical rammers that deliver a specified quantity of compactive energy. The moist masses of the compacted samples are multiplied by the appropriate factor to determine wet density values. Moisture contents of the compacted samples are determined and used to obtain the dry density values of the same samples. Maximum dry density and optimum moisture content for the soil or soil-aggregate mixture is determined by plotting the relationship between dry density and moisture content.

Apparatus

- Mold – Cylindrical mold made of metal with the dimensions shown in Table 1 or Table 2. If permitted by the agency, the mold may be of the “split” type, consisting of two half-round sections, which can be securely locked in place to form a cylinder. Determine the mold volume according to Annex B, Standardization of the Mold.

- Mold assembly – Mold, base plate, and a detachable collar.

- Rammer – Manually or mechanically operated rammers as detailed in Table 1 or Table 2. A manually operated rammer shall be equipped with a guide sleeve to control the path and height of drop. The guide sleeve shall have at least four vent holes no smaller than 9.5 mm (3/8 in.) in diameter, spaced approximately 90 degrees apart and approximately 19 mm (3/4 in.) from each end. A mechanically operated rammer will uniformly distribute blows over the sample and will be calibrated with several soil types, and be adjusted, if necessary, to give the same moisture-density results as with the manually operated rammer. For additional information concerning calibration, see the FOP for AASHTO T 99 and T 180.
• Sample extruder – A jack, lever frame, or other device for extruding compacted specimens from the mold quickly and with little disturbance.

• Balance(s) or scale(s) of the capacity and sensitivity required for the procedure used by the agency.

  A balance or scale with a capacity of 11.5 kg (25 lb) and a sensitivity of 1 g for obtaining the sample, meeting the requirements of AASHTO M 231, Class G 5.

  A balance or scale with a capacity of 2 kg and a sensitivity of 0.1 g is used for moisture content determinations done under both procedures, meeting the requirements of AASHTO M 231, Class G 2.

• Drying apparatus – A thermostatically controlled drying oven, capable of maintaining a temperature of 110 ±5°C (230 ±9°F) for drying moisture content samples in accordance with the FOP for AASHTO T 255/T 265.

• Straightedge – A steel straightedge at least 250 mm (10 in.) long, with one beveled edge and at least one surface plane within 0.1 percent of its length, used for final trimming.

• Sieve(s) – 4.75 mm (No. 4) and/or 19.0 mm (3/4 in.), meeting the requirements of FOP for AASHTO T 27/T 11.

• Mixing tools – Miscellaneous tools such as a mixing pan, spoon, trowel, spatula, etc., or a suitable mechanical device, for mixing the sample with water.

• Containers with close-fitting lids to prevent gain or loss of moisture in the sample.
Table 1
Comparison of Apparatus, Sample, and Procedure – Metric

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T 99</th>
<th>T 180</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mold Volume, m³</td>
<td>Methods A, C: 0.000943 ±0.000014</td>
<td>Methods A, C: 0.000943 ±0.000014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methods B, D: 0.002124 ±0.000025</td>
<td>Methods B, D: 0.002124 ±0.000025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mold Diameter, mm</td>
<td>Methods A, C: 101.60 ±0.40</td>
<td>Methods A, C: 101.60 ±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methods B, D: 152.40 ±0.70</td>
<td>Methods B, D: 152.40 ±0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mold Height, mm</td>
<td>116.40 ±0.50</td>
<td>116.40 ±0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detachable Collar Height, mm</td>
<td>50.80 ±0.64</td>
<td>50.80 ±0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rammer Diameter, mm</td>
<td>50.80 ±0.25</td>
<td>50.80 ±0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rammer Mass, kg</td>
<td>2.495 ±0.009</td>
<td>4.536 ±0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rammer Drop, mm</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layers</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blows per Layer</td>
<td>Methods A, C: 25</td>
<td>Methods A, C: 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methods B, D: 56</td>
<td>Methods B, D: 56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material Size, mm</td>
<td>Methods A, B: 4.75 minus</td>
<td>Methods A, B: 4.75 minus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methods C, D: 19.0 minus</td>
<td>Methods C, D: 19.0 minus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Sample Size, kg</td>
<td>Method A: 3 (1)</td>
<td>Method B: 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Method C: 5 (1)</td>
<td>Method D: 11(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy, kN-m/m³</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>2,693</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) This may not be a large enough sample depending on your nominal maximum size for moisture content samples.

Table 2
Comparison of Apparatus, Sample, and Procedure – English

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T 99</th>
<th>T 180</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mold Volume, ft³</td>
<td>Methods A, C: 0.0333 ±0.0005</td>
<td>Methods A, C: 0.0333 ±0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methods B, D: 0.07500 ±0.0009</td>
<td>Methods B, D: 0.07500 ±0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mold Diameter, in.</td>
<td>Methods A, C: 4.000 ±0.016</td>
<td>Methods A, C: 4.000 ±0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methods B, D: 6.000 ±0.026</td>
<td>Methods B, D: 6.000 ±0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mold Height, in.</td>
<td>4.584 ±0.018</td>
<td>4.584 ±0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detachable Collar Height, in.</td>
<td>2.000 ±0.025</td>
<td>2.000 ±0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rammer Diameter, in.</td>
<td>2.000 ±0.025</td>
<td>2.000 ±0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rammer Mass, lb</td>
<td>5.5 ±0.02</td>
<td>10 ±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rammer Drop, in.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layers</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blows per Layer</td>
<td>Methods A, C: 25</td>
<td>Methods A, C: 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methods B, D: 56</td>
<td>Methods B, D: 56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material Size, in.</td>
<td>Methods A, B: No. 4 minus</td>
<td>Methods A, B: No. 4 minus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methods C, D: 3/4 minus</td>
<td>Methods C, D: 3/4 minus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Sample Size, lb</td>
<td>Method A: 7 (1)</td>
<td>Method B: 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Method C: 12(1)</td>
<td>Method D: 25(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy, lb-ft/ft³</td>
<td>12,375</td>
<td>56,250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) This may not be a large enough sample depending on your nominal maximum size for moisture content samples.
Sample

If the sample is damp, dry it until it becomes friable under a trowel. Drying may be in air or by use of a drying apparatus maintained at a temperature not exceeding 60°C (140°F). Thoroughly break up aggregations in a manner that avoids reducing the natural size of individual particles.

Obtain a representative test sample of the mass required by the agency by passing the material through the sieve required by the agency. See Table 1 or Table 2 for test sample mass and material size requirements.

In instances where the material is prone to degradation, i.e., granular material, a compaction sample with differing moisture contents should be prepared for each point.

If the sample is plastic (clay types), it should stand for a minimum of 12 hours after the addition of water to allow the moisture to be absorbed. In this case, several samples at different moisture contents should be prepared, put in sealed containers and tested the next day.

Note 1: Both T 99 and T 180 have four methods (A, B, C, D) that require different masses and employ different sieves.

Procedure

During compaction, rest the mold firmly on a dense, uniform, rigid, and stable foundation or base. This base shall remain stationary during the compaction process.

1. Determine the mass of the clean, dry mold. Include the base plate, but exclude the extension collar. Record the mass to the nearest 1 g (0.005 lb).

2. Thoroughly mix the selected representative sample with sufficient water to dampen it to approximately 4 to 8 percentage points below optimum moisture content. For many materials, this condition can be identified by forming a cast by hand.

   a. Prepare individual samples of plastic or degradable material, increasing moisture contents 1 to 2 percent for each point.

   b. Allow samples of plastic soil to stand for 12 hrs.

3. Form a specimen by compacting the prepared soil in the mold assembly in approximately equal layers. For each layer:

   a. Spread the loose material uniformly in the mold.

   Note 2: It is recommended to cover the remaining material with a non-absorbent sheet or damp cloth to minimize loss of moisture.

   b. Lightly tamp the loose material with the manual rammer or other similar device, this establishes a firm surface.
c. Compact each layer with uniformly distributed blows from the rammer. See Table 1 for mold size, number of layers, number of blows, and rammer specification for the various test methods. Use the method specified by the agency.

d. Trim down material that has not been compacted and remains adjacent to the walls of the mold and extends above the compacted surface.

4. Remove the extension collar. Avoid shearing off the sample below the top of the mold. The material compacted in the mold should not be over 6 mm (¼ in.) above the top of the mold once the collar has been removed.

5. Trim the compacted soil even with the top of the mold with the beveled side of the straightedge.

6. Clean soil from exterior of the mold and base plate.

7. Determine and record the mass of the mold, base plate, and wet soil to the nearest 1 g (0.005 lb) or better.

8. Determine and record the wet mass ($M_w$) of the sample by subtracting the mass in Step 1 from the mass in Step 7.

9. Calculate the wet density, in kg/m$^3$ (lb/ft$^3$), by dividing the wet mass by the measured volume ($V_m$).

10. Extrude the material from the mold. For soils and soil-aggregate mixtures, slice vertically through the center and take a representative moisture content sample from one of the cut faces, ensuring that all layers are represented. For granular materials, a vertical face will not exist. Take a representative sample. This sample must meet the sample size requirements of the test method used to determine moisture content.

![Extruded material](image1.png)  
Extruded material  

![Representative moisture content sample](image2.png)  
Representative moisture content sample

**Note 3:** When developing a curve for free-draining soils such as uniform sands and gravels, where seepage occurs at the bottom of the mold and base plate, taking a representative moisture content from the mixing bowl may be preferred in order to determine the amount of moisture available for compaction.
11. Determine and record the moisture content of the sample in accordance with the FOP for AASHTO T 255 / T 265.

12. If the material is degradable or plastic, return to Step 3 using a prepared individual sample. If not, continue with Steps 13 through 15.

13. Thoroughly break up the remaining portion of the molded specimen until it will again pass through the sieve, as judged by eye, and add to the remaining portion of the sample being tested.

14. Add sufficient water to increase the moisture content of the remaining soil by 1 to 2 percentage points and repeat steps 3 through 11.

15. Continue determinations until there is either a decrease or no change in the wet mass. There will be a minimum of three points on the dry side of the curve and two points on the wet side. For non-cohesive, drainable soils, one point on the wet side is sufficient.

Calculations

Wet Density

\[ D_w = \frac{M_w}{V_m} \]

Where:

- \( D_w \) = wet density, kg/m\(^3\) (lb/ft\(^3\))
- \( M_w \) = wet mass
- \( V_m \) = volume of the mold, Annex B
Dry Density

\[ D_d = \left( \frac{D_w}{w + 100} \right) \times 100 \quad \text{or} \quad D_d = \frac{D_w}{\frac{w}{100} + 1} \]

Where:

- \( D_d \) = dry density, kg/m\(^3\) (lb/ft\(^3\))
- \( w \) = moisture content, as a percentage

Example for 4-inch mold, Methods A or C

- Wet mass, \( M_w \) = 1.928 kg (4.25 lb)
- Moisture content, \( w \) = 11.3%
- Measured volume of the mold, \( V_m \) = 0.000946 m\(^3\) (0.0334 ft\(^3\))

Wet Density

\[ D_w = \frac{1.928 \text{ kg}}{0.000946 \text{ m}^3} = 2038 \text{ kg/m}^3 \quad D_w = \frac{4.25 \text{ lb}}{0.0334 \text{ ft}^3} = 127.2 \text{ lb/ft}^3 \]

Dry Density

\[ D_d = \left( \frac{2038 \text{ kg/m}^3}{11.3 + 100} \right) \times 100 = 1831 \text{ kg/m}^3 \quad D_d = \left( \frac{127.2 \text{ lb/ft}^3}{11.3 + 100} \right) \times 100 = 114.3 \text{ lb/ft}^3 \]

Or

\[ D_d = \left( \frac{2038 \text{ kg/m}^3}{\frac{11.3}{100} + 1} \right) = 1831 \text{ kg/m}^3 \quad D_d = \left( \frac{127.2 \text{ lb/ft}^3}{\frac{11.3}{100} + 1} \right) = 114.3 \text{ lb/ft}^3 \]
Moisture-Density Curve Development

When dry density is plotted on the vertical axis versus moisture content on the horizontal axis and the points are connected with a smooth line, a moisture-density curve is developed. The coordinates of the peak of the curve are the maximum dry density, or just “maximum density,” and the “optimum moisture content” of the soil.

Example

Given the following dry density and corresponding moisture content values develop a moisture-density relations curve and determine maximum dry density and optimum moisture content.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dry Density</th>
<th>Moisture Content, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kg/m³</td>
<td>lb/ft³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1831</td>
<td>114.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1853</td>
<td>115.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1873</td>
<td>116.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1869</td>
<td>116.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1857</td>
<td>115.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Maximum Dry Density = 1880 kg/m³ (117.3 lbs/ft³)
Optimum Moisture = 13.2%
In this case, the curve has its peak at:

- Maximum dry density \( = \) 1880 kg/m\(^3\) (117.3 lb/ft\(^3\))
- Optimum moisture content \( = \) 13.2%

Note that both values are approximate, since they are based on sketching the curve to fit the points.

**Report**

- Results on forms approved by the agency
- Sample ID
- Maximum dry density to the nearest 1 kg/m\(^3\) (0.1 lb/ft\(^3\))
- Optimum moisture content to the nearest 0.1 percent
ANNEX A

CORRECTION OF MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY AND OPTIMUM MOISTURE FOR OVERSIZED PARTICLES

This section corrects the maximum dry density and moisture content of the material retained on the 4.75 mm (No. 4) sieve, Methods A and B; or the material retained on the 19 mm (¾ in.) sieve, Methods C and D. The maximum dry density, corrected for oversized particles and total moisture content, are compared with the field-dry density and field moisture content.

This correction can be applied to the sample on which the maximum dry density is performed. A correction may not be practical for soils with only a small percentage of oversize material. The agency shall specify a minimum percentage below which the method is not needed. If not specified, this method applies when more than 5 percent by weight of oversize particles is present.

Bulk specific gravity ($G_{sb}$) of the oversized particles is required to determine the corrected maximum dry density. Use the bulk specific gravity as determined using the FOP for AASHTO T 85 in the calculations. For construction activities, an agency established value or specific gravity of 2.600 may be used.

This correction can also be applied to the sample obtained from the field while performing in-place density.

1. Use the sample from this procedure or a sample obtained according to the FOP for AASHTO T 310.
2. Sieve the sample on the 4.75 mm (No. 4) sieve for Methods A and B or the 19 mm (¾ in.) sieve, Methods C and D.
3. Determine the dry mass of the oversized and fine fractions ($M_{DC}$ and $M_{DF}$) by one of the following:
   a. Dry the fractions, fine and oversized, in air or by use of a drying apparatus that is maintained at a temperature not exceeding 60°C (140°F).
   b. Calculate the dry masses using the moisture samples.

To determine the dry mass of the fractions using moisture samples.

1. Determine the moist mass of both fractions, fine ($M_{Mf}$) and oversized ($M_{Mc}$):  
2. Obtain moisture samples from the fine and oversized material.
3. Determine the moisture content of the fine particles \((MC_f)\) and oversized particles \((MC_C)\) of the material by FOP for AASHTO T 255/T 265 or agency approved method.

4. Calculate the dry mass of the oversize and fine particles.

\[
M_D = \frac{M_m}{1 + MC}
\]

Where:

- \(M_D\) = mass of dry material (fine or oversize particles)
- \(M_m\) = mass of moist material (fine or oversize particles)
- \(MC\) = moisture content of respective fine or oversized, expressed as a decimal

5. Calculate the percentage of the fine \((P_f)\) and oversized \((P_c)\) particles by dry weight of the total sample as follows: See Note 2.

\[
P_f = \frac{100 \times M_{DF}}{M_{DF} + M_{DC}}
\]

\[
P_c = \frac{100 \times M_{DC}}{M_{DF} + M_{DC}}
\]

Or for \(P_c\):

\[
P_c = 100 - P_f
\]

Where:

- \(P_f\) = percent of fine particles, of sieve used, by weight
- \(P_c\) = percent of oversize particles, of sieve used, by weight
- \(M_{DF}\) = mass of dry fine particles
- \(M_{DC}\) = mass of dry oversize particles
Optimum Moisture Correction Equation

1. Calculate the corrected moisture content as follows:

\[
MCT = \frac{MC_F \times P_f + (MC_C \times P_c)}{100} = \frac{(13.2\% \times 73.0\%) + (2.1\% \times 27.0\%)}{100} = 10.2\%
\]

\(MCT\) = corrected moisture content of combined fines and oversized particles, expressed as a % moisture
\(MC_F\) = moisture content of fine particles, as a % moisture
\(MC_C\) = moisture content of oversized particles, as a % moisture

Note 1: Moisture content of oversize material can be assumed to be two (2) percent for most construction applications.

Note 2: In some field applications agencies will allow the percentages of oversize and fine materials to be determined with the materials in the wet state.

Density Correction Equation

2. Calculate the corrected dry density of the total sample (combined fine and oversized particles) as follows:

\[
D_{d} = \frac{100\%}{\left[\left(\frac{P_f}{D_f}\right) + \left(\frac{P_c}{k}\right)\right]}
\]

Where:

\(D_d\) = corrected total dry density (combined fine and oversized particles) kg/m³ (lb/ft³)
\(D_f\) = dry density of the fine particles kg/m³ (lb/ft³), determined in the lab
\(P_c\) = percent of dry oversize particles, of sieve used, by weight.
\(P_f\) = percent of dry fine particles, of sieve used, by weight.
\(k\) = Metric: 1,000 * Bulk Specific Gravity (Gsb) (oven dry basis) of coarse particles (kg/m³).
\(k\) = English: 62.4 * Bulk Specific Gravity (Gsb) (oven dry basis) of coarse particles (lb/ft³)

Note 3: If the specific gravity is known, then this value will be used in the calculation. For most construction activities the specific gravity for aggregate may be assumed to be 2.600.
Calculation

Example

- Metric:

  Maximum laboratory dry density \( (D_t) \): 1880 kg/m³
  Percent coarse particles \( (P_c) \): 27%
  Percent fine particles \( (P_f) \): 73%
  Mass per volume coarse particles \( (k) \): \((2.697)(1000) = 2697\) kg/m³

\[
D_d = \frac{100\%}{\left(\frac{P_f}{D_f}\right) + \left(\frac{P_c}{k}\right)}
\]

\[
D_d = \frac{100\%}{\left[\left(\frac{73\%}{1880 \text{ kg/m}^3}\right) + \left(\frac{27\%}{2697 \text{ kg/m}^3}\right)\right]}
\]

\[
D_d = \frac{100\%}{\left[0.03883 \text{ kg/m}^3 + 0.01001 \text{ kg/m}^3\right]}
\]

\[
D_d = 2047.5 \text{ kg/m}^3 \text{ report} \ 2048 \text{ kg/m}^3
\]
English:

Maximum laboratory dry density ($D_l$): 117.3 lb/ft³
Percent coarse particles ($P_c$): 27%
Percent fine particles ($P_f$): 73%
Mass per volume of coarse particles ($k$): $(2.697)(62.4) = 168.3$ lb/ft³

\[ D_d = \frac{100\%}{\left[\left(\frac{P_f}{D_f}\right) + \left(\frac{P_c}{k}\right)\right]} \]

\[ D_d = \frac{100\%}{\left[\frac{73\%}{117.3 \text{ lb/ft}^3} + \frac{27\%}{168.3 \text{ lb/ft}^3}\right]} \]

\[ D_d = \frac{100\%}{[0.6223 \text{ lb/ft}^3 + 0.1604 \text{ lb/ft}^3]} \]

\[ D_d = \frac{100\%}{0.7827 \text{ lb/ft}^3} \]

\[ D_d = 127.76 \text{ lb/ft}^3 \quad \text{Report 127.8 lb/ft}^3 \]

**Report**

- Results on forms approved by the agency
- Sample ID
- Corrected maximum dry density to the nearest 1 kg/m³ (0.1 lb/ft³)
- Corrected optimum moisture to the nearest 0.1 percent
ANNEX B

STANDARDIZATION OF THE MOLD

Standardization is a critical step to ensure accurate test results when using this apparatus. Failure to perform the standardization procedure as described herein will produce inaccurate or unreliable test results.

Apparatus

Mold and base plate

Balance or scale – Accurate to within 45 g (0.1 lb) or 0.3 percent of the test load, whichever is greater, at any point within the range of use.

- Cover plate – A piece of plate glass, at least 6 mm (1/4 in.) thick and at least 25 mm (1 in.) larger than the diameter of the mold.

- Thermometers – Standardized liquid-in-glass, or electronic digital total immersion type, accurate to 0.5°C (1°F)

Procedure

1. Create a watertight seal between the mold and base plate.

2. Determine and record the mass of the dry sealed mold, base plate, and cover plate.

3. Fill the mold with water at a temperature between 16°C and 29°C (60°F and 85°F) and cover with the cover plate in such a way as to eliminate bubbles and excess water.

4. Wipe the outside of the mold, base plate, and cover plate dry, being careful not to lose any water from the mold.

5. Determine and record the mass of the filled mold, base plate, cover plate, and water.

6. Determine and record the mass of the water in the mold by subtracting the mass in Step 2 from the mass in Step 5.

7. Measure the temperature of the water and determine its density from Table B1, interpolating as necessary.

8. Calculate the volume of the mold, \( V_m \), by dividing the mass of the water in the mold by the density of the water at the measured temperature.
Calculations

\[ V_m = \frac{M}{D} \]

Where:

\( V_m \) = volume of the mold

\( M \) = mass of water in the mold

\( D \) = density of water at the measured temperature

Example

Mass of water in mold = 0.94367 kg (2.0800 lb)

Density of water at 23°C (73.4°F) = 997.54 kg/m³ (62.274 lb/ft³)

\[ V_m = \frac{0.94367 \text{ kg}}{997.54 \text{ kg/m}^3} = 0.000946 \text{ m}^3 \]

\[ V_m = \frac{2.0800 \text{ lb}}{62.274 \text{ lb/ft}^3} = 0.0334 \text{ ft}^3 \]
### Table B1
**Unit Mass of Water**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>°C</th>
<th>(°F)</th>
<th>kg/m³</th>
<th>(lb/ft³)</th>
<th>°C</th>
<th>(°F)</th>
<th>kg/m³</th>
<th>(lb/ft³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>(59.0)</td>
<td>999.10</td>
<td>(62.372)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>(73.4)</td>
<td>997.54</td>
<td>(62.274)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>(60.0)</td>
<td>999.01</td>
<td>(62.366)</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>(75.0)</td>
<td>997.32</td>
<td>(62.261)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>(60.8)</td>
<td>998.94</td>
<td>(62.361)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>(75.2)</td>
<td>997.29</td>
<td>(62.259)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>(62.6)</td>
<td>998.77</td>
<td>(62.350)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>(77.0)</td>
<td>997.03</td>
<td>(62.243)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>(64.4)</td>
<td>998.60</td>
<td>(62.340)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>(78.8)</td>
<td>996.77</td>
<td>(62.227)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>(65.0)</td>
<td>998.54</td>
<td>(62.336)</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>(80.0)</td>
<td>996.59</td>
<td>(62.216)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>(66.2)</td>
<td>998.40</td>
<td>(62.328)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>(80.6)</td>
<td>996.50</td>
<td>(62.209)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>(68.0)</td>
<td>998.20</td>
<td>(62.315)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>(82.4)</td>
<td>996.23</td>
<td>(62.192)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>(69.8)</td>
<td>997.99</td>
<td>(62.302)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>(84.2)</td>
<td>995.95</td>
<td>(62.175)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>(70.0)</td>
<td>997.97</td>
<td>(62.301)</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>(85.0)</td>
<td>995.83</td>
<td>(62.166)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>(71.6)</td>
<td>997.77</td>
<td>(62.288)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>(86.0)</td>
<td>995.65</td>
<td>(62.156)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Report
- Mold ID
- Date Standardized
- Temperature of the water
- Volume, \( V_m \), of the mold to the nearest 0.000001 m³ (0.0001 ft³)
ONE-POINT METHOD FOR DETERMINING MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY AND OPTIMUM MOISTURE FOP FOR AASHTO T 272

Scope
This procedure provides for a rapid determination of the maximum dry density and optimum moisture content of a soil sample, using a one-point determination in accordance with AASHTO T 272-18. This procedure is related to the FOPs for AASHTO T 99/T 180 and R 75.

One-point determinations are made by compacting the soil in a mold of a given size with a specified rammer dropped from a specified height and then compared to an individual moisture/density curve (FOP for AASHTO T 99 or T 180) or a family of curves (FOP for AASHTO R 75). Four alternate methods – A, B, C, and D – are used and correspond to the methods described in the FOP for AASHTO T 99/T 180. The method used in AASHTO T 272 must match the method used for the reference curve or to establish the family of curves. For example, when moisture-density relationships as determined by T 99 - Method C are used to form the family of curves or an individual moisture density curve, then T 99 - Method C must be used to for the one-point determination.

Apparatus
See the FOP for AASHTO T 99/T 180. Use the method matching the individual curve or Family of Curves. Refer to Table 1 of the FOP for AASHTO T 99 / T 180 for corresponding mold size, number of layers, number of blows, and rammer specification for the various test methods.

Sample
Sample size determined according to the FOP for AASHTO T 310. In cases where the existing individual curve or family cannot be used a completely new curve will need to be developed and the sample size will be determined by the FOP for AASHTO T 99/T 180.

If the sample is damp, dry it until it becomes friable under a trowel. Drying may be in air or by use of a drying apparatus maintained at a temperature not exceeding 60°C (140°F). Thoroughly break up aggregations in a manner that avoids reducing the natural size of individual particles.

Procedure
1. Determine the mass of the clean, dry mold. Include the base plate but exclude the extension collar. Record the mass to the nearest 1 g (0.005 lb).
2. Thoroughly mix the sample with sufficient water to adjust moisture content to 80 to 100 percent of the anticipated optimum moisture.
3. Form a specimen by compacting the prepared soil in the mold (with collar attached) in approximately equal layers. For each layer:
   a. Spread the loose material uniformly in the mold.

Note 1: It is recommended to cover the remaining material with a non-absorbent sheet or damp cloth to minimize loss of moisture.
b. Lightly tamp the loose material with the manual rammer or other similar device, this establishes a firm surface.

c. Compact each layer with uniformly distributed blows from the rammer.

d. Trim down material that has not been compacted and remains adjacent to the walls of the mold and extends above the compacted surface.

4. Remove the extension collar. Avoid shearing off the sample below the top of the mold. The material compacted in the mold should not be over 6 mm (¼ in.) above the top of the mold once the collar has been removed.

5. Trim the compacted soil even with the top of the mold with the beveled side of the straightedge.

6. Clean soil from exterior of the mold and base plate.

7. Determine the mass of the mold and wet soil to the nearest 1 g (0.005 lb) or better.

8. Determine the wet mass of the sample by subtracting the mass in Step 1 from the mass in Step 7.

9. Calculate the wet density as indicated below under “Calculations.”

10. Extrude the material from the mold. For soils and soil-aggregate mixtures, slice vertically through the center and take a representative moisture content sample from one of the cut faces, ensuring that all layers are represented. For granular materials, a vertical face will not exist. Take a representative sample. This sample must meet the sample size requirements of the test method used to determine moisture content.

11. Determine the moisture content of the sample in accordance with the FOP for AASHTO T 255 / T 265.
Calculations

1. Calculate the wet density, in kg/m³ (lb/ft³), by dividing the wet mass by the measured volume of the mold (T 19).

Example – Methods A or C mold:

Wet mass = 2.0055 kg (4.42 lb)
Measured volume of the mold = 0.0009469 m³ (0.03344 ft³)

\[ \text{Wet Density} = \frac{2.0055 \text{ kg}}{0.0009469 \text{ m}^3} = 2118 \text{ kg/m}^3 \]

\[ \text{Wet Density} = \frac{4.42 \text{ lb}}{0.03344 \text{ ft}^3} = 132.2 \text{ lb/ft}^3 \]

2. Calculate the dry density as follows.

\[ \rho_d = \left( \frac{\rho_w}{w + 100} \right) \times 100 \quad \text{or} \quad \rho_d = \frac{\rho_w}{\left( \frac{w}{100} + 1 \right)} \]

Where:

\( \rho_d \) = Dry density, kg/m³ (lb/ft³)
\( \rho_w \) = Wet density, kg/m³ (lb/ft³)
\( w \) = Moisture content, as a percentage

Example:

\( \rho_w = 2118 \text{ kg/m}^3 \) (132.2 lb/ft³)
\( w = 13.5\% \)

\[ \rho_d = \left( \frac{2118 \text{ kg/m}^3}{13.5 + 100} \right) \times 100 = 1866 \text{ kg/m}^3 \]

or

\[ \rho_d = \frac{2118 \text{ kg/m}^3}{13.5 + 100} = 1866 \text{ kg/m}^3 \]

\[ \rho_d = \frac{132.2 \text{ lb/ft}^3}{13.5 + 1} = 116.5 \text{ lb/ft}^3 \]
Maximum Dry Density and Optimum Moisture Content Determination Using an Individual Moisture / Density Curve

1. The moisture content must be within 80 to 100 percent of optimum moisture of the reference curve. Compact another specimen, using the same material, at an adjusted moisture content if the one-point does not fall in the 80 to 100 percent of optimum moisture range.

2. Plot the one-point, dry density on the vertical axis and moisture content on the horizontal axis, on the reference curve graph.

3. If the one-point falls on the reference curve or within ±2.0 lbs/ft³, use the maximum dry density and optimum moisture content determined by the curve.

4. Use the FOP for AASHTO T 99/T 180 Annex A to determine corrected maximum dry density and optimum moisture content if oversize particles have been removed.

5. Perform a full moisture-density relationship if the one-point does not fall on or within ±2.0 lbs/ft³ of the reference curve at 80 to 100 percent optimum moisture.
Example

The results of a one-point determination were 116.5 lb/ft³ at 13.5 percent moisture. The point was plotted on the reference curve graph. The one-point determination is within 2.0 lb/ft³ of the point on the curve that corresponds with the moisture content.
Maximum Dry Density and Optimum Moisture Content Determination Using a Family of Curves

1. Plot the one-point, dry density on the vertical axis and moisture content on the horizontal axis, on the reference family of curves graph.

2. If the moisture-density one-point falls on one of the curves in the family of curves, use the maximum dry density and optimum moisture content defined by that curve.

3. If the moisture-density one-point falls within the family of curves but not on an existing curve, draw a new curve through the plotted single point, parallel and in character with the nearest existing curve in the family of curves. Use the maximum dry density and optimum moisture content as defined by the new curve.

   a. The one-point must fall either between or on the highest or lowest curves in the family. If it does not, then a full curve must be developed.

   b. If the one-point plotted within or on the family of curves does not fall in the 80 to 100 percent of optimum moisture content, compact another specimen, using the same material, at an adjusted moisture content that will place the one point within this range.

4. Use the FOP for AASHTO T 99/T 180 Annex A to determine corrected maximum dry density and optimum moisture content if oversize particles have been removed.

5. If the new curve through a one-point is not well defined or is in any way questionable, perform a full moisture-density relationship to correctly define the new curve and verify the applicability of the family of curves.

Note 2: New curves drawn through plotted single point determinations shall not become a permanent part of the family of curves until verified by a full moisture-density procedure following the FOP for AASHTO T 99/T 180.
The results of a one-point determination were 116.5 lb/ft³ at 13.5 percent moisture. The point was plotted on the reference curve graph. The point was plotted on the appropriate family between two previously developed curves near and intermediate curve.

The “dotted” curve through the moisture-density one-point was sketched between the existing curves. A maximum dry density of 119.3 lb/ft³ and a corresponding optimum moisture content of 15.9 percent were estimated.
Report

- Results on forms approved by the agency
- Sample ID
- Maximum dry density to the nearest 1 kg/m$^3$ (0.1 lb/ft$^3$)
- Corrected maximum dry density (if applicable)
- Optimum moisture content to the nearest 0.1 percent
- Corrected optimum moisture content (if applicable)
- Reference curve or Family of Curves used
PERFORMANCE EXAM CHECKLIST

ONE-POINT METHOD
FOP FOR AASHTO T 272 (T 99)

Participant Name ______________________________ Exam Date ______________

Record the symbols “P” for passing or “F” for failing on each step of the checklist.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure Element</th>
<th>Trial 1</th>
<th>Trial 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. One-point determination of dry density and corresponding moisture content made in accordance with the FOP for AASHTO T 99?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Correct size (4.75 mm / No. 4 or 19.0 mm / 3/4 in.) material used?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. If necessary, sample dried until friable in air or drying apparatus, not exceeding 60°C (140°F)?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Sample broken up and an adequate amount sieved over the appropriate sieve (4.75 mm / No. 4 or 19.0 mm / 3/4 in.) to determine oversize (coarse particle) percentage?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Sample passing the sieve has appropriate mass?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Moisture content adjusted if needed?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Determine mass of clean, dry mold without collar to nearest 1 g?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Mold placed on rigid and stable foundation?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Layer of soil (approximately one third compacted depth) placed in mold with collar attached, loose material lightly tamped?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Soil compacted with appropriate number of blows (25 or 56)?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Material adhering to the inside of the mold trimmed?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Layer of soil (approximately two thirds compacted depth) placed in mold with collar attached, loose material lightly tamped?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Soil compacted with appropriate number of blows (25 or 56)?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Material adhering to the inside of the mold trimmed?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Mold filled with soil such that compacted soil will be above the mold, loose material lightly tamped?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Soil compacted with appropriate number of blows (25 or 56)?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Collar removed without shearing off sample?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Approximately 6 mm (1/4 in.) of compacted material above the top of the mold (without the collar)?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Soil trimmed to top of mold with the beveled side of the straightedge?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Remove soil from exterior surface of mold and base plate?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Mass of mold and contents determined to appropriate precision?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OVER
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure Element</th>
<th>Trial 1</th>
<th>Trial 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21. Wet density calculated from the wet mass?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Soil removed from mold using a sample extruder if needed?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Soil sliced vertically through center (non-granular material)?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Moisture sample removed ensuring all layers are represented?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Moist mass determined immediately to 0.1 g?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Moisture sample mass of correct size?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Sample dried and water content determined according to the FOP for T 255/T 265?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. One-point plotted on family of curves supplied?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. One-point falls within 80 to 100 percent of optimum moisture content in order to be valid?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. If one-point does not fall within 80 to 100 percent of optimum moisture content, another one-point determination with an adjusted water content is made?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Maximum dry density and corresponding optimum moisture content correctly estimated?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. One-point plotted on a single reference curve?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Does one-point plot within 2 lb/ft³ in order to be valid?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Does one-point fall within 80 to 100 percent of optimum moisture content in order to be valid?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Maximum dry density and corresponding optimum moisture content determined from single reference curve?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: First attempt: Pass_____ Fail_____ Second attempt: Pass_____ Fail_____

Examiner Signature _______________________________ WAQTC #: ___________________

Pub. October 2019
TOTAL EVAPORABLE MOISTURE CONTENT OF AGGREGATE BY DRYING
FOP FOR AASHTO T 255
LABORATORY DETERMINATION OF MOISTURE CONTENT OF SOILS
FOP FOR AASHTO T 265

Scope
This procedure covers the determination of moisture content of aggregate and soil in
accordance with AASHTO T 255-00 and AASHTO T 265-15. It may also be used for other
construction materials.

Overview
Moisture content is determined by comparing the wet mass of a sample and the mass of the
sample after drying to constant mass. The term constant mass is used to define when a
sample is dry.

Constant mass – the state at which a mass does not change more than a given percent, after
additional drying for a defined time interval, at a required temperature.

Apparatus
- Balance or scale: capacity sufficient for the principle sample mass, accurate to
0.1 percent of sample mass or readable to 0.1 g, and meeting the requirements of
AASHTO M 231
- Containers, clean, dry and capable of being sealed
- Suitable drying containers
- Microwave safe container with ventilated lid
- Heat source, controlled:
  - Forced draft oven
  - Ventilated oven
  - Convection oven
- Heat source, uncontrolled:
  - Infrared heater/heat lamp, hot plate, fry pan, or any other device/method that will dry
    the sample without altering the material being dried
  - Microwave oven (900 watts minimum)
- Utensils such as spoons
- Hot pads or gloves
Sample Preparation

In accordance with the FOP for AASHTO R 90 obtain a representative sample in its existing condition.

For aggregates the representative sample size is based on Table 1 or other information that may be specified by the agency.

### TABLE 1
Sample Sizes for Moisture Content of Aggregate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal Maximum Size* mm (in.)</th>
<th>Minimum Sample Mass g (lb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.75 (No. 4)</td>
<td>500 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5 (3/8)</td>
<td>1500 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.5 (1/2)</td>
<td>2000 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.0 (3/4)</td>
<td>3000 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.0 (1)</td>
<td>4000 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.5 (1 1/2)</td>
<td>6000 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 (2)</td>
<td>8000 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63 (2 1/2)</td>
<td>10,000 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 (3)</td>
<td>13,000 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 (3 1/2)</td>
<td>16,000 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 (4)</td>
<td>25,000 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 (6)</td>
<td>50,000 (110)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* One sieve larger than the first sieve to retain more than 10 percent of the material using an agency specified set of sieves based on cumulative percent retained. Where large gaps in specification sieves exist, intermediate sieve(s) may be inserted to determine nominal maximum.
For soils the representative sample size is based on Table 2 or other information that may be specified by the agency.

### TABLE 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Particle Size mm (in.)</th>
<th>Minimum Sample Mass g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.425 (No. 40)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.75 (No. 4)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.5 (1/2)</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.0 (1)</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 (2)</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Immediately seal or cover samples to prevent any change in moisture content or follow the steps in “Procedure.”

**Procedure**

Determine and record the sample mass as follows:

- For aggregate, determine and record all masses to the nearest 0.1 percent of the sample mass or to the nearest 0.1 g.
- For soil, determine and record all masses to the nearest 0.1 g.

When determining the mass of hot samples or containers or both, place and tare a buffer between the sample container and the balance. This will eliminate damage to or interference with the operation of the balance or scale.

1. Determine and record the mass of the container (and lid for microwave drying).
2. Place the wet sample in the container.
   a. For oven(s), hot plates, infrared heaters, etc.: Spread the sample in the container.
   b. For microwave oven: Heap sample in the container; cover with ventilated lid.
3. Determine and record the total mass of the container and wet sample.
4. Determine and record the wet mass of the sample by subtracting the container mass determined in Step 1 from the mass of the container and sample determined in Step 3.
5. Place the sample in one of the following drying apparatus:
   a. For aggregate –
      i. Controlled heat source (oven): at 110 ±5°C (230 ±9°F).
      ii. Uncontrolled heat source (Hot plate, infrared heater, etc.): Stir frequently to avoid localized overheating.
   b. For soil – controlled heat source (oven): at 110 ±5°C (230 ±9°F).
Note 1: Soils containing gypsum or significant amounts of organic material require special drying. For reliable moisture contents dry these soils at 60°C (140°F). For more information see AASHTO T 265, Note 2.

6. Dry until sample appears moisture free.

7. Determine mass of sample and container.

8. Determine and record the mass of the sample by subtracting the container mass determined in Step 1 from the mass of the container and sample determined in Step 7.

9. Return sample and container to the heat source for additional drying.
   a. For aggregate –
      i. Controlled heat source (oven): 30 minutes
      ii. Uncontrolled heat source (Hot plate, infrared heater, etc.): 10 minutes
      iii. Uncontrolled heat source (Microwave oven): 2 minutes

Caution: Some minerals in the sample may cause the aggregate to overheat, altering the aggregate gradation.

   b. For soil – controlled heat source (oven): 1 hour

10. Determine mass of sample and container.

11. Determine and record the mass of the sample by subtracting the container mass determined in Step 1 from the mass of the container and sample determined in Step 10.

12. Determine percent change by subtracting the new mass determination (M_n) from the previous mass determination (M_p) divide by the previous mass determination (M_p) multiply by 100.

13. Continue drying, performing steps 9 through 12, until there is less than a 0.10 percent change after additional drying time.

14. Constant mass has been achieved; sample is defined as dry.

15. Allow the sample to cool. Immediately determine and record the total mass of the container and dry sample.

16. Determine and record the dry mass of the sample by subtracting the mass of the container determined in Step 1 from the mass of the container and sample determined in Step 15.

17. Determine and record percent moisture (w) by subtracting the final dry mass determination (M_D) from the initial wet mass determination (M_W) divide by the final dry mass determination (M_D) multiply by 100.
Table 3  
Methods of Drying

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heat Source</th>
<th>Specific Instructions</th>
<th>Drying intervals to achieve constant mass (minutes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aggregate</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlled: Forced draft (preferred), ventilated, or convection oven</td>
<td>110 ±5°C (230 ±9°F)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncontrolled: Hot plate, infrared heater, etc.</td>
<td>Stir frequently</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave</td>
<td>Heap sample and cover with ventilated lid</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Soil</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlled: Forced draft (preferred), ventilated, or convection oven</td>
<td>110 ±5°C (230 ±9°F)</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Calculation

**Constant Mass:**

Calculate constant mass using the following formula:

\[
\% \text{Change} = \frac{M_p - M_n}{M_p} \times 100
\]

Where:

- \(M_p\) = previous mass measurement
- \(M_n\) = new mass measurement

**Example:**

Mass of container: 1232.1 g
Mass of container and sample after first drying cycle: 2637.2 g
Mass, \(M_p\), of possibly dry sample: 2637.2 g - 1232.1 g = 1405.1 g
Mass of container and dry sample after second drying cycle: 2634.1 g
Mass, \(M_n\), of dry sample: 2634.1 g - 1232.1 g = 1402.0 g

\[
\% \text{Change} = \frac{1405.1 \text{ g} - 1402.0 \text{ g}}{1405.1 \text{ g}} \times 100 = 0.22\%
\]

0.22 percent is not less than 0.10 percent, so continue drying

Mass of container and dry sample after third drying cycle: 2633.0 g
Mass, \(M_n\), of dry sample: 2633.0 g - 1232.1 g = 1400.9 g

\[
\% \text{Change} = \frac{1402.0 \text{ g} - 1400.9 \text{ g}}{1402.0 \text{ g}} \times 100 = 0.08\%
\]

0.08 percent is less than 0.10 percent, so constant mass has been reached.
Moisture Content:
Calculate the moisture content, as a percent, using the following formula:

\[ w = \frac{M_W - M_D}{M_D} \times 100 \]

Where:
- \( w \) = moisture content, percent
- \( M_W \) = wet mass
- \( M_D \) = dry mass

Example:
- Mass of container: 1232.1 g
- Mass of container and wet sample: 2764.7 g
- Mass, \( M_W \), of wet sample: 2764.7 g - 1232.1 g = 1532.6 g
- Mass of container and dry sample (COOLED): 2633.5 g
- Mass, \( M_D \), of dry sample: 2633.5 g - 1232.1 g = 1401.4 g

\[ w = \frac{1532.6 \text{ g} - 1401.4 \text{ g}}{1401.4 \text{ g}} \times 100 = \frac{131.2 \text{ g}}{1401.4 \text{ g}} \times 100 = 9.36\% \text{ report 9.4\%} \]

Report
- Results on forms approved by the agency
- Sample ID
- \( M_W \), wet mass
- \( M_D \), dry mass
- \( w \), moisture content to the nearest 0.1 percent
PERFORMANCE EXAM CHECKLIST

TOTAL EVAPORABLE MOISTURE CONTENT OF AGGREGATE BY DRYING
FOP FOR AASHTO T 255

LABORATORY DETERMINATION OF MOISTURE CONTENT OF SOILS
FOP FOR AASHTO T 265

Participant Name ______________________________ Exam Date ______________

Record the symbols “P” for passing or “F” for failing on each step of the checklist.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure Element</th>
<th>Trial 1</th>
<th>Trial 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Representative sample of appropriate mass obtained?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Mass of container determined to 0.1 g?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Sample placed in container and mass determined to 0.1 g?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Test sample mass conforms to the required mass?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Wet sample mass determined to 0.1 g?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Loss of moisture avoided prior to mass determination?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Sample dried by a suitable heat source?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Describe suitable heat sources for aggregate?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Describe suitable heat sources for soils?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. If aggregate heated by means other than a controlled oven, is sample stirred to avoid localized overheating?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. For microwave, aggregate heaped and covered with a ventilated lid?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. For aggregate, heated for the additional, specified time?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Forced draft, ventilated, convection ovens – 30 minutes;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Microwave – 2 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Other – 10 minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. For soil:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Heated for at least 1 hour additional drying time using a controlled heat source?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Mass determined and compared to previous mass - showing less than 0.10 percent loss?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Sample cooled, dry mass determined and recorded to the nearest 0.1 percent?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Moisture content calculated correctly and recorded to the nearest 0.1 percent?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OVER
Comments: First attempt: Pass Fail Second attempt: Pass Fail

Examiner Signature _______________________________ WAQTC #:_______________
IN-PLACE DENSITY AND MOISTURE CONTENT OF SOIL AND SOIL-AGGREGATE BY NUCLEAR METHODS (SHALLOW DEPTH) FOP FOR AASHTO T 310

Scope
This procedure covers the determination of density, moisture content, and relative compaction of soil, aggregate, and soil-aggregate mixes in accordance with AASHTO T 310-19. This field operating procedure is derived from AASHTO T 310. The nuclear moisture-density gauge is used in the direct transmission mode.

Apparatus
- Nuclear density gauge with the factory matched standard reference block.
- Drive pin, guide/scaper plate, and hammer for testing in direct transmission mode.
- Transport case for properly shipping and housing the gauge and tools.
- Instruction manual for the specific make and model of gauge.
- Radioactive materials information and calibration packet containing:
  - Daily Standard Count Log.
  - Factory and Laboratory Calibration Data Sheet.
  - Leak Test Certificate.
  - Shippers Declaration for Dangerous Goods.
  - Procedure Memo for Storing, Transporting and Handling Nuclear Testing Equipment.
  - Other radioactive materials documentation as required by local regulatory requirements.
- Sealable containers and utensils for moisture content determinations.

Radiation Safety
This method does not purport to address all of the safety problems associated with its use. This test method involves potentially hazardous materials. The gauge utilizes radioactive materials that may be hazardous to the health of the user unless proper precautions are taken. Users of this gauge must become familiar with the applicable safety procedures and governmental regulations. All operators will be trained in radiation safety prior to operating nuclear density gauges. Some agencies require the use of personal monitoring devices such as a thermoluminescent dosimeter or film badge. Effective instructions together with routine safety procedures such as source leak tests, recording and evaluation of personal monitoring device data, etc., are a recommended part of the operation and storage of this gauge.
Calibration
Calibrate the nuclear gauge as required by the agency. This calibration may be performed by the agency using manufacturer’s recommended procedures or by other facilities approved by the agency. Verify or re-establish calibration curves, tables, or equivalent coefficients every 12 months.

Standardization
1. Turn the gauge on and allow it to stabilize (approximately 10 to 20 minutes) prior to standardization. Leave the power on during the day’s testing.
2. Standardize the nuclear gauge at the construction site at the start of each day’s work and as often as deemed necessary by the operator or agency. Daily variations in standard count shall not exceed the daily variations established by the manufacturer of the gauge. If the daily variations are exceeded after repeating the standardization procedure, the gauge should be repaired and/or recalibrated.
3. Record the standard count for both density and moisture in the Daily Standard Count Log. The exact procedure for standard count is listed in the manufacturer’s Operator’s Manual.

Note 1: New standard counts may be necessary more than once a day. See agency requirements.

Overview
There are two methods for determining in-place density of soil / soil aggregate mixtures. See agency requirements for method selection.
- Method A Single Direction
- Method B Two Direction

Procedure
1. Select a test location(s) randomly and in accordance with agency requirements. Test sites should be relatively smooth and flat and meet the following conditions:
   a. At least 10 m (30 ft) away from other sources of radioactivity
   b. At least 3 m (10 ft) away from large objects
   c. The test site should be at least 150 mm (6 in.) away from any vertical projection, unless the gauge is corrected for trench wall effect.
2. Remove all loose and disturbed material and remove additional material as necessary to expose the top of the material to be tested.
3. Prepare a flat area sufficient in size to accommodate the gauge. Plane the area to a smooth condition so as to obtain maximum contact between the gauge and the material being tested. For Method B, the flat area must be sufficient to permit rotating the gauge 90 or 180 degrees about the source rod.
4. Fill in surface voids beneath the gauge with fines of the material being tested passing the 4.75 mm (No. 4) sieve or finer. Smooth the surface with the guide plate or other suitable tool. The depth of the filler should not exceed approximately 3 mm (1/8 in.).

5. Make a hole perpendicular to the prepared surface using the guide plate and drive pin. The hole shall be at least 50 mm (2 in.) deeper than the desired probe depth and shall be aligned such that insertion of the probe will not cause the gauge to tilt from the plane of the prepared area. Remove the drive pin by pulling straight up and twisting the extraction tool.

6. Place the gauge on the prepared surface so the source rod can enter the hole without disturbing loose material.

7. Insert the probe in the hole and lower the source rod to the desired test depth using the handle and trigger mechanism.

8. Seat the gauge firmly by partially rotating it back and forth about the source rod. Ensure the gauge is seated flush against the surface by pressing down on the gauge corners and making sure that the gauge does not rock.

9. Pull gently on the gauge to bring the side of the source rod nearest to the scaler / detector firmly against the side of the hole.

10. Perform one of the following methods, per agency requirements:
   a. Method A Single Direction: Take a test consisting of the average of two, one-minute readings, and record both density and moisture data. The two wet density readings should be within 32 kg/m³ (2.0 lb/ft³) of each other. The average of the two wet densities and moisture contents will be used to compute dry density.
   b. Method B Two Direction: Take a one-minute reading and record both density and moisture data. Rotate the gauge 90 or 180 degrees, pivoting it around the source rod. Reseat the gauge by pulling gently on the gauge to bring the side of the source rod nearest to the scaler/detector firmly against the side of the hole and take a one-minute reading. (In trench locations, rotate the gauge 180 degrees for the second test.) Some agencies require multiple one-minute readings in both directions. Analyze the density and moisture data. A valid test consists of wet density readings in both gauge positions that are within 50 kg/m³ (3.0 lb/ft³). If the tests do not agree within this limit, move to a new location. The average of the wet density and moisture contents will be used to compute dry density.

11. If required by the agency, obtain a representative sample of the material, 4 kg (9 lb) minimum, from directly beneath the gauge full depth of material tested. This sample will be used to verify moisture content and / or identify the correct density standard. Immediately seal the material to prevent loss of moisture.

The material tested by direct transmission can be approximated by a cylinder of soil approximately 300 mm (12 in.) in diameter directly beneath the centerline of the radioactive source and detector. The height of the cylinder will be approximately the...
depth of measurement. When organic material or large aggregate is removed during this operation, disregard the test information and move to a new test site.

12. To verify the moisture content from the nuclear gauge, determine the moisture content with a representative portion of the material using the FOP for AASHTO T 255/T 265 or other agency approved methods. If the moisture content from the nuclear gauge is within ±1 percent, the nuclear gauge readings can be accepted. Moisture content verification is gauge and material specific. Retain the remainder of the sample at its original moisture content for a one-point compaction test under the FOP for AASHTO T 272, or for gradation, if required.

Note 2: Example: A gauge reading of 16.8 percent moisture and an oven dry of 17.7 percent are within the ±1 percent requirement. Moisture correlation curves will be developed according to agency guidelines. These curves should be reviewed and possibly redeveloped every 90 days.

13. Determine the dry density by one of the following.

a. From nuclear gauge readings, compute by subtracting the mass (weight) of the water (kg/m³ or lb/ft³) from the wet density (kg/m³ or lb/ft³) or compute using the percent moisture by dividing wet density from the nuclear gauge by 1 plus the moisture content expressed as a decimal.

b. When verification is required and the nuclear gauge readings cannot be accepted, the moisture content is determined by the FOP for AASHTO T 255/T 265 or other agency approved methods. Compute dry density by dividing wet density from the nuclear gauge by 1 plus the moisture content expressed as a decimal.

Percent Compaction

- Percent compaction is determined by comparing the in-place dry density as determined by this procedure to the appropriate agency density standard. For soil or soil-aggregate mixes, these are moisture-density curves developed using the FOP for AASHTO T 99/T 180. When using maximum dry densities from the FOP for AASHTO T 99/T 180 or FOP for AASHTO T 272, it may be necessary to use the Annex in the FOP for T 99/T 180 to determine corrected maximum dry density and optimum moisture content.

   For coarse granular materials, the density standard may be density-gradation curves developed using a vibratory method such as AKDOT&PF’s ATM 212, ITD’s T 74, WSDOT’s TM 606, or WFLHD’s Humphres.

See appropriate agency policies for use of density standards.
Calculation

Calculate the dry density as follows:

\[ \rho_d = \left( \frac{\rho_w}{w + 100} \right) \times 100 \quad \text{or} \quad \rho_d = \left( \frac{\rho_w}{100 + w} \right) \]

Where:
\( \rho_d \) = Dry density, kg/m\(^3\) (lb/ft\(^3\))
\( \rho_w \) = Wet density, kg/m\(^3\) (lb/ft\(^3\))
\( w \) = Moisture content from the FOP’s for AASHTO T 255 / T 265, as a percentage

Calculate percent compaction as follows:

\[ \% \text{ Compaction} = \frac{\rho_d}{\text{Agency density standard}} \times 100 \]

where:
\( \rho_d \) = Dry density, kg/m\(^3\) (lb/ft\(^3\))
Agency density standard = Corrected maximum dry density from the FOP from T 99/T 180 Annex

Example:

Wet density readings from gauge: 1948 kg/m\(^3\) (121.6 lb/ft\(^3\))
1977 kg/m\(^3\) (123.4 lb/ft\(^3\))
Avg: 1963 kg/m\(^3\) (122.5 lb/ft\(^3\))

Moisture readings from gauge: 14.2\% and 15.4\% = Avg 14.8\%

Moisture content from the FOP’s for AASHTO T 255/ T 265: 15.9\%

Moisture content is greater than 1 percent different so the gauge moisture cannot be used.
Calculate the dry density as follows:

$$\rho_d = \left( \frac{1963 \text{ kg/m}^3 \text{ or } 122.5 \text{ lb/ft}^3}{15.9 + 100} \right) \times 100 \quad \rho_d = \left( \frac{1963 \text{ kg/m}^3 \text{ or } 122.5 \text{ lb/ft}^3}{\frac{15.9}{100} + 1} \right)$$

$$= 1694 \text{ kg/m}^3 \text{ or } 105.7 \text{ lb/ft}^3$$

where:

$$\rho_w = 1963 \text{ kg/m}^3 \text{ or } 122.5 \text{ lb/ft}^3$$

$$w = 15.9\%$$

Calculate percent compaction as follows:

$$\% \text{ Compaction} = \left( \frac{105.7 \text{ lb/ft}^3}{111.3 \text{ lb/ft}^3} \right) \times 100 = 95\%$$

where:

Agency density standard = 111.3 lb/ft³

Report
- Results on forms approved by the agency
- Sample ID
- Location of test, elevation of surface, and thickness of layer tested
- Visual description of material tested
- Make, model and serial number of the nuclear moisture-density gauge
- Wet density to the nearest 0.1 lb/ft³
- Moisture content as a percent, by mass, of dry soil mass to the nearest 0.1 percent
- Dry density to the nearest 0.1 lb/ft³
- Density standard to the nearest 0.1 lb/ft³
- Percent compaction the nearest 1 percent
- Name and signature of operator
# PERFORMANCE EXAM CHECKLIST

## IN-PLACE DENSITY AND MOISTURE CONTENT OF SOIL AND SOIL-
AGGREGATE BY NUCLEAR METHODS (SHALLOW DEPTH)

FOP FOR AASHTO T 310

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure Element</th>
<th>Trial 1</th>
<th>Trial 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Gauge turned on 10 to 20 minutes before use?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Calibration verified?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Standard count taken and recorded in accordance with manufacturer’s instructions?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Test location selected appropriately 10 m (30 ft.) from other radioactive sources, 3 m (10 ft.) from large objects, 150 mm (6 in.) away from vertical projections?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Loose, disturbed material removed?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Flat, smooth area prepared?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Surface voids filled with native fines (-No. 4) to 3 mm (1/8 in.) maximum thickness?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Hole driven 50 mm (2 in.) deeper than probe depth?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Gauge placed, probe placed, and source rod lowered without disturbing loose material?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Method A:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Gauge firmly seated, and gently pulled back so that the source rod is against the side of the hole toward the scaler / detectors?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Two, one-minute reading taken; wet density within 32 kg/m³ (2.0 lb/ft³)?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Density and moisture data averaged?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Method B:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Gauge firmly seated, and gently pulled back so that the source rod is against the side of the hole toward the scaler / detectors?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. A minimum of a one-minute reading taken; density and moisture data recorded?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Gauge turned 90° or 180° (180° in trench)?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OVER
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure Element</th>
<th>Trial 1</th>
<th>Trial 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>d. Gauge firmly seated, and gently pulled back so that the source rod is against</td>
<td>______</td>
<td>______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the side of the hole toward the scaler / detectors?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. A minimum of a one-minute reading taken; density and moisture</td>
<td>______</td>
<td>______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>data recorded?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Wet densities within 50 kg/m³ (3.0 lb/ft³)?</td>
<td>______</td>
<td>______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Density and moisture data averaged?</td>
<td>______</td>
<td>______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Representative sample (4 kg or 9 lb) obtained from test location?</td>
<td>______</td>
<td>______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Sample sealed immediately to prevent moisture loss?</td>
<td>______</td>
<td>______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Moisture content correctly determined using other means than the nuclear</td>
<td>______</td>
<td>______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>density gauge reading?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Dry Density calculated using proper moisture content?</td>
<td>______</td>
<td>______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Percent compaction calculated correctly?</td>
<td>______</td>
<td>______</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: First attempt: Pass Fail Second attempt: Pass Fail

Examiner Signature _________________________________________ WAQTC #: __________
IN-PLACE DENSITY OF ASPHALT MIXTURES BY NUCLEAR METHOD
FOP FOR AASHTO T 355

Scope
This test method describes a procedure for determining the density of asphalt mixtures by means of a nuclear gauge using the backscatter method in accordance with AASHTO T 355-18. Correlation with densities determined under the FOP for AASHTO T 166 is required by some agencies.

Apparatus
- Nuclear density gauge with the factory-matched standard reference block.
- Transport case for properly shipping and housing the gauge and tools.
- Instruction manual for the specific make and model of gauge.
- Radioactive materials information and calibration packet containing:
  - Daily standard count log
  - Factory and laboratory calibration data sheet
  - Leak test certificate
  - Shippers’ declaration for dangerous goods
  - Procedure memo for storing, transporting and handling nuclear testing equipment
  - Other radioactive materials documentation as required by local regulatory requirements

Material
- Filler material: Fine-graded sand from the source used to produce the asphalt pavement or other agency approved materials.

Radiation Safety
This method does not purport to address all of the safety problems associated with its use. This test method involves potentially hazardous materials. The gauge utilizes radioactive materials that may be hazardous to the health of the user unless proper precautions are taken. Users of this gauge must become familiar with the applicable safety procedures and governmental regulations. All operators will be trained in radiation safety before operating nuclear density gauges. Some agencies require the use of personal monitoring devices such as a thermoluminescent dosimeter or film badge. Effective instructions, together with routine safety procedures such as source leak tests, recording and evaluation of personal monitoring device data, etc., are a recommended part of the operation and storage of this gauge.
Calibration
Calibrate the nuclear gauge as required by the agency. This calibration may be performed by the agency using the manufacturer’s recommended procedures or by other facilities approved by the agency. Verify or re-establish calibration curves, tables, or equivalent coefficients every 12 months.

Standardization
1. Turn the gauge on and allow it to stabilize (approximately 10 to 20 minutes) before standardization. Leave the power on during the day’s testing.
2. Standardize the nuclear gauge at the construction site at the start of each day’s work and as often as deemed necessary by the operator or agency. Daily variations in standard count shall not exceed the daily variations established by the manufacturer of the gauge. If the daily variations are exceeded after repeating the standardization procedure, the gauge should be repaired, recalibrated, or both.
3. Record the standard count for both density and moisture in the daily standard count log. The exact procedure for standard count is listed in the manufacturer’s Operator’s Manual.

Note 1: New standard counts may be necessary more than once a day. See agency requirements.

Test Site Location
1. Select a test location(s) randomly and in accordance with agency requirements. Test sites should be relatively smooth and flat and meet the following conditions:
   a. At least 10 m (30 ft.) away from other sources of radioactivity.
   b. At least 3 m (10 ft.) away from large objects.
   c. If the gauge will be closer than 600 mm (24 in.) to any vertical mass, or less than 300 mm (12 in.) from a vertical pavement edge, use the gauge manufacturer’s correction procedure.

Procedure
1. Maintain maximum contact between the base of the gauge and the surface of the material under test.
2. Use filler material to fill surface voids.
3. Spread a small amount of filler material over the test site surface and distribute it evenly. Strike off the surface with a straightedge (such as a lathe or flat-bar steel) to remove excess material.
4. If using thin-layer mode, enter the anticipated overlay thickness into the gauge.

Note 2: If core correlation is required, entered thickness, anticipated thickness, and nominal core thickness may be required to match.
Method A – Average of two one-minute tests

1. Place the gauge on the test site, perpendicular to the roller passes.

2. Using a crayon (not spray paint), mark the outline or footprint of the gauge.

3. Extend the probe to the backscatter position.

4. Take a one-minute test and record the wet density reading.

5. Rotate the gauge 90 degrees centered over the original footprint. Mark the outline or footprint of the gauge.

6. Take another one-minute test and record the wet density reading.

7. If the difference between the two one-minute tests is greater than 40 kg/m$^3$ (2.5 lb/ft$^3$), retest in both directions. If the difference of the retests is still greater than 40 kg/m$^3$ (2.5 lb/ft$^3$) test at 180 and 270 degrees.

8. The density reported for each test site shall be the average of the two individual one-minute wet density readings.
Method B – One four-minute test

1. Place the gauge on the test site, parallel to the roller passes.
2. Using a crayon (not spray paint), mark the outline or footprint of the gauge.
3. Extend the probe to the backscatter position.
4. Take one 4-minute test and record the wet density reading.

![Diagram showing footprint of the gauge test site and direction of roller pass](image-url)
Calculation of Results

Percent compaction is determined by comparing the in-place wet density as determined by this method to the appropriate agency density standard. See appropriate agency policy for use of density standards.

\[
\frac{\text{Percent compaction}}{\times 100} = \frac{\text{Corrected Reading}}{\text{Maximum Density}}
\]

Method A Example:
Reading #1: 141.5 lb/ft\(^3\)
Reading #2: 140.1 lb/ft\(^3\) Are the two readings within the tolerance? (YES)
Reading average: 140.8 lb/ft\(^3\)
Core correction: +2.1 lb/ft\(^3\)
Corrected reading: 142.9 lb/ft\(^3\)

Method B Example:
Reading: 140.8 lb/ft\(^3\)
Core correction: +2.1 lb/ft\(^3\)
Corrected reading: 142.9 lb/ft\(^3\)

Example percent compaction:
From the FOP for AASHTO T 209:

\[G_{mm} = 2.466\]

\[\text{Theoretical Maximum Density} = 2.466 \times 62.245 lb/ft^3 = 153.5 lb/ft^3\]

\[
\text{Percent compaction} = \frac{142.9 lb/ft^3}{153.5 lb/ft^3} \times 100 = 93.1\%
\]
Report

- Results on forms approved by the agency
- Test ID
- Location of test and thickness of layer tested
- Mixture type
- Make, model and serial number of the nuclear moisture-density gauge
- Calculated wet density of each measurement and any adjustment data
- Density standard
- Compaction to the nearest 0.1 percent
- Name and signature of operator
APPENDIX – CORRELATION WITH CORES

(Nonmandatory Information)

The bulk specific gravity ($G_{mb}$) of the core is a physical measurement of the in-place asphalt mixture and can be compared with the nuclear density gauge readings. Comparing the core value to the corresponding gauge values, a correlation can be established.

The correlation can then be used to adjust the gauge readings to the in-place density of the cores. The core correlation is gauge specific and must be determined without traffic allowed on the pavement between nuclear density gauge readings and obtaining the core. When using multiple nuclear density gauges each gauge should be correlated to the core locations before removal of the core.

When density correlation with the FOP for AASHTO T 166 is required, correlation of the nuclear gauge with pavement cores shall be made on the first day’s paving (within 24 hours) or from a test strip constructed before the start of paving. Cores must be taken before traffic is allowed on the pavement.

Correlation with Cores

1. Determine the number of cores required for correlation from the agency’s specifications. Cores shall be located on the first day’s paving or on the test strip. Locate the test sites in accordance with the agency’s specifications. Follow the “Procedure” section above to establish test sites and obtain densities using the nuclear gauge.

2. Obtain a pavement core from each of the test sites according to AASHTO R 67. The core should be taken from the center of the nuclear gauge footprint.

Method A – Footprint of the gauge test site. Core location in the center of the footprint.
3. Determine the density of the cores by the FOP for AASHTO T 166, Bulk Specific Gravity of Compacted Asphalt Mixtures Using Saturated Surface Dry Specimens.

4. Calculate a correlation factor for the nuclear gauge reading as follows:
   
   a. Calculate the difference between the core density and the average nuclear gauge density at each test site to the nearest 1 kg/m³ (0.1 lb/ft³). Calculate the average difference and standard deviation of the differences for the entire data set to the nearest 1 kg/m³ (0.1 lb/ft³).
   
   b. If the standard deviation of the differences is equal to or less than 40 kg/m³ (2.5 lb/ft³), the correlation factor applied to the average nuclear gauge density shall be the average difference calculated above in 4.a.
   
   c. If the standard deviation of the differences is greater than 40 kg/m³ (2.5 lb/ft³), the test site with the greatest variation from the average difference shall be eliminated from the data set and the data set properties and correlation factor recalculated following 4.a and 4.b.
   
   d. If the standard deviation of the modified data set still exceeds the maximum specified in 4.b, additional test sites will be eliminated from the data set and the data set properties and correlation factor recalculated following 4.a and 4.b. If the data set consists of less than five test sites, additional test sites shall be established.

*Note A1:* The exact method used in calculating the nuclear gauge correlation factor shall be defined by agency policy.

*Note A2:* The above correlation procedure must be repeated if there is a new job mix formula. Adjustments to the job mix formula beyond tolerances established in the contract documents will constitute a new
job mix formula. A correlation factor established using this procedure is only valid for the particular
gauge used in the correlation procedure. If another gauge is brought onto the project, it shall be
correlated using the same procedure. Multiple gauges may be correlated from the same series of cores
if done at the same time.

Note A3: For the purpose of this procedure, a job mix formula is defined as the percent and grade of
paving asphalt used with a specified gradation of aggregate from a designated aggregate source. A
new job mix formula may be required whenever compaction of the wearing surface exceeds the
agency’s specified maximum density or minimum air voids.

Calculations
Correlation Factor

\[
\sqrt{\frac{\sum x^2}{n-1}}
\]

Where:

\[\sum = \text{Sum}\]
\[x = \text{Difference from the average}\]
\[n-1 = \text{number of data sets minus 1}\]

Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core #</th>
<th>Core results from T 166:</th>
<th>Average Gauge reading</th>
<th>Difference: x</th>
<th>(x^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>144.9 lb/ft(^3)</td>
<td>142.1 lb/ft(^3)</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>142.8 lb/ft(^3)</td>
<td>140.9 lb/ft(^3)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>143.1 lb/ft(^3)</td>
<td>140.7 lb/ft(^3)</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>140.7 lb/ft(^3)</td>
<td>138.9 lb/ft(^3)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>145.1 lb/ft(^3)</td>
<td>143.6 lb/ft(^3)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>144.2 lb/ft(^3)</td>
<td>142.4 lb/ft(^3)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>143.8 lb/ft(^3)</td>
<td>141.3 lb/ft(^3)</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>142.8 lb/ft(^3)</td>
<td>139.8 lb/ft(^3)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>144.8 lb/ft(^3)</td>
<td>143.3 lb/ft(^3)</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>143.0 lb/ft(^3)</td>
<td>141.0 lb/ft(^3)</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average Difference: \(+2.1\text{ lb/ft}^3\) \[\sum x^2 = 2.5\]
Number of data sets

\[ n - 1 = 10 - 1 = 9 \]

Standard deviation

\[
\text{standard deviation} = \sqrt{\frac{2.5}{9}} = 0.53
\]

Where:

\[
\text{Sum of } x^2 = 2.5
\]

Number of data sets = 9

The standard deviation of 0.53 is less than 2.5 therefore no cores are eliminated. The average difference from all ten cores is used.
**PERFORMANCE EXAM CHECKLIST**

**IN-PLACE DENSITY OF ASPHALT MIXTURES BY NUCLEAR METHOD**  
**FOP FOR AASHTO T 355**

Participant Name ______________________________ Exam Date ______________

Record the symbols “P” for passing or “F” for failing on each step of the checklist.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure Element</th>
<th>Trial 1</th>
<th>Trial 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Gauge turned on approximately 10 to 20 minutes before use?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Gauge calibrated, and standard count recorded?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Test location selected appropriately [600 mm (24 in.) from vertical projections or 10 m (30 ft.) from any other radioactive sources]?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Filler spread evenly over test site?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Excess filler material removed by striking off the surface?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Gauge placed on pavement surface and footprint of gauge marked?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Probe extended to backscatter position?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Method A:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. One-minute count taken; gauge rotated 90°, reseated, and another one-minute count taken?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Densities averaged?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. If difference of the wet densities is greater than 40 kg/m³ (2.5 lb/ft³), retest conducted in both directions?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Method B:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. One four-minute count taken?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Core correlation applied if required?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Percent compaction calculated correctly?</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: First attempt: Pass_____Fail_____ Second attempt: Pass_____Fail_____

Examiner Signature _______________________________ WAQTC #: ______________